

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/564,873	01/17/2006	Richard Fargo	OT5166;60469-250	3868
64779 77590 0770920099 CARLSON GASKEY & OLDS 400 W MAPLE STE 350			EXAMINER	
			KRUER, STEFAN	
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: RICHARD FARGO and RICHARD L. HOLLOWELL

Application 10/564,873 Appeal 2008-003304 Technology Center 3600

Mailed: July 9, 2009

Before DALE M. SHAW, Chief Appeals Administrator.

ORDER REMANDING APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on April 15, 2008. On May 7, 2008, a Docketing Notice was mailed, and Appeal No. 2008-003304 was assigned. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Upon review of the application, it has been determined that a remand to Examiner, via the Office of the Director of the Technology Center, is necessary to consider the following issues and to take necessary corrective action.

Appeal No. 2008-003304 Application No. 10/564,873

APPEAL BRIEF, APPEALED CLAIMS

Appellant has not appealed all rejected claims. Specifically, a review of the Grounds of Rejection on the record finds that rejections are outstanding for the following pending claims: 1-20. The rejected claims that have not been appealed and argued for appeal are claims 13-16, 18 and 20.

DISCUSSION

The Board of Appeals and Interferences (Board), in Ex parte Ghuman, http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/prec/rm081175.pdf (BPAI May 14, 2008) (precedential), held that in appeals where rejected claims are expressly withdrawn, or are implicitly withdrawn by not presenting arguments in support of patentability, the Board will remand (or return) the application to the Examiner with instructions to cancel the expressly or implicitly withdrawn claims. See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) § 1215.03 (8th ed. Rev. 7, Sept 2008).

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner

- 1) to have the applicant submit a paper canceling claims 13-16, 18 and 20, and rewriting claims 17 and 19 into independent form:
- 2) upon entry of the paper, to return the application to the Board for the consideration of appealed claims; and
- 3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

Appeal No. 2008-003304 Application No. 10/564,873

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DMS/saw

CARLSON GASKEY & OLDS 400 W MAPLE STE 350 BIRMINGHAM MI 48009